From: james.good
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/4/02 12:09pm
Subject: Micorsoft Settlement

## To Whom it may concern:

I was asked to voice my opinion of this fool hardy law suit of Microsoft. I think my first sentence tells you. Companies should be allowed to compete in the market place, and not be tied by zelous lawyers and government officials who have no business interferring.

The main cause of this suit to my understanding was the bundling of Microsoft Internet Explorer. Well, I have 2 systems with Windows 95 and 98, and both have Explorer on them. I loaded Netscape on both because I like it's operations better. So, where did Microsoft force me to purchase and use their product over Netscape? To me, both were free and in one system part of my package when purchased.

I think that the settlement should be finished, Microsoft should be left to provide the products and services they are known for and the lawyers and government should remain out of the business loop unless true illegal business practices are used.

Regards,

Jim Good Colchester, CT

Microsoft Share Holder